

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,427	04/03/2006	Hans Haindl	2565/145	7575
26646 7590 05/04/2010 KENYON & KENYON LLP ONE BROADWAY			EXAMINER	
			OSINSKI, BRADLEY JAMES	
NEW YORK,	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

(1

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/574,427		HAINDL ET AL.	HAINDL ET AL.	
		Art Unit		
BRADLEY J. OSINSKI		3767		
	* "			

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 18 March 2010.
1 Improper Request – The Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the follow

This is in response to the Pre-Appea	I Brief Request for Review filed 18 Ma	rch 2010.
1. Improper Request – The I reason(s):	Request is improper and a conference	will not be held for the following
The request does not inc	s not been filed concurrent with the Pr clude reasons why a review is appropri is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief r	ate.
	nse continues to run from the receipt ommunication, if no Notice of Appeal h	
held. The application remains un is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one mont running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pi der appeal because there is at least o rief in accordance with 3 CFR 4.1 or h from malling this decision, or 11sh ba titice of appeal, whichever is greater. F 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail da able.	ne actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal lance of the two-month time period Further, the time period for filing of th
☑ The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>34-52</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from co	ed the status of the claim(s) is as folic . nsideration:	ws:
	conference has been held. The reject cution on the merits remains closed. N	
	conference has been held. The rejecti action is required by applicant at this l	
All participants:		
(1) BRADLEY J. OSINSKI.	(3) <u>SUE LAO</u> .	
(2) KEVIN SIRMONS.	(4)	
/Bradley J Osinski/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767	/Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767	/Sue Lao/ Primary Examiner